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Solidarity Economy as part of Popular Security Enhancing Practices

- World economy
  - Protection
  - Emancipation

- Private capitalist sector
- Public sector

Solidarity economy
- Based on labour and local resources
- Rationality of “reproduction of life”
- Aimed at securing livelihoods

Popular economy
A neo-Polanyian conceptual framework

- **Principles of economic integration:**
  - Exchange + production, finance and consumption
  - Modalities of exchange $\subseteq$ modalities of interdependence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Redistribution</th>
<th>Reciprocity</th>
<th>Household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of interdepen - dence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic of action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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- **Principles of economic integration:**
  - Exchange + production, finance and consumption
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Redistribution</th>
<th>Reciprocity</th>
<th>Householding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of interdependence</strong></td>
<td>Price-driven (competition)</td>
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A neo-Polanyian conceptual framework

✓ Principles of economic integration:
  ✓ Exchange + production, finance and consumption
  ✓ Modalities of exchange \( \subset \) modalities of interdependence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Redistribution</th>
<th>Reciprocity</th>
<th>Householding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of interdependence</td>
<td>Price-driven (competition)</td>
<td>Between centre and periphery</td>
<td>Between complementary elements (e.g. symmetrical)</td>
<td>Between the members of a “domestic” group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logic of action</td>
<td>Bargaining in one’s own interest</td>
<td>Obligation in a centralized system</td>
<td>Obligation among peers</td>
<td>Sharing resources and work for satisfying the needs of the group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coping with vulnerability in popular economy

Reciprocity
E.g.
- Popular cooperatives in Brazil
- Associations of producers in Bolivia
- Recovered company in Argentina

Householding
E.g.
- In-kind saving in rural Morocco, South Kivu, Argentina
- Petty market activities in Bolivia
- Recovered company in Argentina

Redistribution
E.g.
- Popular cooperatives in Brazil (public and voluntary redistribution)
- ROSCAs in South Kivu

Market

Enhancing the security of livelihoods
Solidarity, protection and emancipation

Reciprocity
Democratic self-management / obligation embedded in social norms?

Redistribution
 Democratically controlled / reproduces existing hierarchies?

Protection

Solidarity economy

Emancipation

Householding
Driven by equity and mutual support / based on domination according to sex, age…?

Market
Local capabilities and multi-scalar intervention

Solidarity economy
Local adaptive capacities

Public sphere

Macro-level
Meso-level
Micro-level

POLICIES
INSTITUTIONS

?
Conclusion

✓ Solidarity economy as part of popular security enhancing practices holds a potential for more sustainable development.

✓ But there are limits to autonomous adaptation at the local level.
  ✓ Multiform and multilevel stressors, acute crisis.

✓ Most effective adaptations are multi-scalar.

✓ Innovative policy interventions are required.